

0 Introduction

H0 is an extremely simple calculator notation.

1 Syntax

The syntax of H0 is given by the grammar

```
expression = atom +
atom       = integer | variable | (expression)
variable   = identifier
```

We let E range over `expression`, I range over `integer` and V range over `identifier`.

```
import Text.ParserCombinators.Parsec
import Text.ParserCombinators.Parsec.Token
import Text.ParserCombinators.Parsec.Language

type Scanner a = GenParser Char () a
data Token
    = INum Integer | FNum Double
    | Varid String | Reserved String
    deriving (Show, Eq)

scan :: Scanner a → Scanner (a, SourcePos)
scan p = do pos ← getPosition
           x ← p
           return (x, pos)

scan_integer, scan_varid, hreserved, htoken :: Scanner (Token, SourcePos)
scan_integer = do (i, pos) ← scan (integer (makeTokenParser haskellDef))
                 return (INum i, pos)

hfloat = do (i, pos) ← scan (float (makeTokenParser haskellDef))
          return (FNum i, pos)

scan_varid = do (c, pos) ← scan (hlower)
              cs ← identifier (makeTokenParser haskellDef)
              return (Varid (c : cs), pos)

hlower :: Scanner Char
```

```

hlower = lower < | > char ' _ '
hreserved = do (cs, pos) ← scan (string "(" < | > string ")")
               return (Reserved cs, pos)
htoken = try hfloat < | > scan_integer < | > scan_varid < | > hreserved
scanall :: String → [(Token, SourcePos)]
scanall cs = let result = parse (many htoken) "" cs
              in case result of
                  Right tkns → tkns
                  Left err → error (show err)

```

These are utility functions to aid parsing.

```

type HParser a = GenParser (Token, SourcePos) () a
item :: HParser (Token, SourcePos)
item = do tkn ← anyToken
          return tkn
sat :: (Token → Bool) → HParser (Token, SourcePos)
sat p = do (t, pos) ← item
           if p t then return (t, pos) else pzero
isInteger, isFloat, isVarid :: Token → Bool
isInteger t = case t of
    INum _ → True
    otherwise → False
isFloat t = case t of
    FNum _ → True
    otherwise → False
isVarid t = case t of
    Varid _ → True
    otherwise → False

data Expression
    = Integerr Integer | Floatt Double
    | Var String | Expression : @ Expression
    deriving (Show, Eq)
type Expr = (Expression, SourcePos)
expression, atom, integerr, floatt, variable :: HParser Expr
expression = do pos ← getPosition
               xs ← many1 atom
               return (foldl1 (f pos) xs)
               where f x (e, _) (e', _) = (e : @ e', x)

```

```

atom
=   try (variable) <|> try floatt <|> integerr <|>
    do { sat ( $\equiv$  Reserved "("); (e, pos)  $\leftarrow$  expression;   sat ( $\equiv$  Reserved ")"); return (e, pos) }

integerr = do   (INum i, pos)  $\leftarrow$  sat isInteger
               return (Integerr i, pos)

floatt = do   (FNum x, pos)  $\leftarrow$  sat isFloat
             return (Floatt x, pos)

variable = do   (Varid cs, pos)  $\leftarrow$  sat isVarid
              return (Var cs, pos)

parseall :: [(Token, SourcePos)]  $\rightarrow$  Expr
parseall ts = let result = parse expression "" ts
              in case result of
                  Right expr  $\rightarrow$  expr
                  Left err  $\rightarrow$  error (show err)

```

2 Semantics

Semantic algebra

1. Integers
 - Domain $\text{Int} = \mathbb{Z}$
 - Operations
 - $-\infty \dots \infty : \text{Int}$

In order to define H_0 completely, a *static semantics* and a *dynamic semantics* must be supplied. The static semantics should describe all context conditions, for example type checking.

Static semantics

We start by defining $\mathcal{E}_s : \text{expression} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$. The type $\text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$ is a map from some set of inherited attributes into a set of derived attributes. Since we are interested in the type of expressions, one of the derived attributes will be of type Type defined as

$$\text{Type} = \text{Int} \mid \text{Error}$$

On Type we impose the lattice structure:

$$\text{Int} \sqsubseteq \text{Error}$$

An inherited attribute T is the type required by the context, while the corresponding derived attribute $T' = \mathcal{E}.E.T$ delivers the derived type of E . This is captured by the invariant:

$$T' = \mathcal{E}_s.E.T \Rightarrow T \sqsubseteq T'$$

The type derived for literal constants is the smallest type that agrees with the type of the constant and the required type.

$$\mathcal{E}_s.i.T = T \sqcup \text{Int}$$

Dynamic semantics

E : expression $\rightarrow \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$
 $E.\llbracket E \rrbracket.i = \text{LITERAL}.\llbracket E \rrbracket$

LITERAL : integer $\rightarrow \text{Int}$
 $\text{LITERAL}.\llbracket I \rrbracket =$ (omitted—maps I to corresponding $i \in \text{Int}$)

```
data (Num a)  $\Rightarrow$  Value a = VNum a | VFloat Double
  deriving (Show, Eq)

numfns :: Num a  $\Rightarrow$  [(String, a  $\rightarrow$  a  $\rightarrow$  a)]
numfns = [("_iadd_", (+)), ("_isub_", (-)), ("_imul_", (*))]

fracfns :: Fractional a  $\Rightarrow$  [(String, a  $\rightarrow$  a  $\rightarrow$  a)]
fracfns = [("_fadd_", (+)), ("_fsub_", (-)), ("_fmul_", (*)), ("_fdiv_", (/))]

eval :: Num a  $\Rightarrow$  Expression  $\rightarrow$  Register  $\rightarrow$  Value a
eval (Integerr i) r0 = VNum (fromInteger i)
eval (Floatt x) r0 = VFloat x
eval ((Var cs : @ e) : @ e') r0 = case lookup cs numfns of
  Just f  $\rightarrow$  let VNum i = eval e r0
             VNum j = eval e' r0
             in VNum (f i j)
  Nothing  $\rightarrow$  case lookup cs fracfns of
    Just g  $\rightarrow$  let VFloat x = eval e r0
                VFloat y = eval e' r0
                in VFloat (g x y)
```

```

    Nothing → error ("unknown function: " ++ cs)
eval (Var "_ineg_" :@ e) r0 = let VNum i = eval e r0
    in VNum (-i)
type Register = Integer
calc cs = eval ((fst ∘ parseall ∘ scanall) cs) 0

```

Expression continuations

Explicit control-flow can be introduced into the evaluation of expressions by lifting the order of evaluation of subexpressions to the statement level.

We introduce the assignment statement into our semantics...

This suggests that expressions should be turned into statements by means of the transformation E , $E : \text{expr} \rightarrow \text{var} \times \text{stat}$; the type of E leaves little choice but taking

$$E.e.(x, s) = [x := e].s$$

New compile- and run-time operations are extracted by calculating.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E.(LITERAL.i).(x, s) \\
 = & \quad \text{unfold} \\
 & [x := LITERAL.i].s
 \end{aligned}$$

Referring to the fusion law yields the new semantics:

$$\mathcal{E}[[i]].(x, s) = [x := LITERAL.i].s$$

The new set of run-time operations is:

$$[x := LITERAL.i].s.\text{cxt} = s.((x := i).\text{cxt})$$